

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/879,804	06/12/2001	Chiaki Imaeda	9319S-000223	3726	
27572 7	590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			CHUNG, DAVID Y		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BEOOM IEE	7 III.L.G., WII 46303		2871		
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-	— Jow
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V4.5
		09/879,804	IMAEDA, CHIAKI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		David Y. Chung	2871	
The MAIL	NG DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;
Therefore, further a inal rejection under condition for allowar	29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC ction by the applicant is required to av 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) nce; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to ch places the application	a in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
b) The period for no event, how ONLY CHECL 706.07(f).	r reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arever, will the statutory period for reply expire I K THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forti ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP
ee have been filed is the ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a 2) as set forth in (b) abo	nay be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date for purposes of determining the period of a scalculated from: (1) the expiration date of ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Officany earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding am the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropria	ate extension e action; or
	ppeal was filed on Appellant's (a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed	amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise	e new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) 🔲 they raise	e the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
	not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simpli	fying the
(d) 🗌 they pre	sent additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	See Continuation Sheet.			
Applicant's re	ply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
	ed or amended claim(s) <u>31</u> would be non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a sepa	arate, timely filed amend	ment
	lavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		sidered but does NOT pl	ace the
	or exhibit will NOT be considered bec Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were ne	wly
	of Appeal, the proposed amendment of how the new or amended claims we			an
The status of	the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allo	wed: <u>15,18,19,59,62 and 71-74</u> .			
Claim(s) obje				
Claim(s) reje	cted: <u>1,4,5,8,11-14,30,32,47,49,50,60-6</u>	<u>5,75 and 76</u> .		
Claim(s) with	drawn from consideration: 2,6,9,16,41	,44-46,48,56 and 66-70.		
8. The drawing	correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attac	ched Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		_
0. Other:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,)C
			TARIFUR R. CHOWDI)
,			PRIMARY EXAMIN	TURY

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendment to claims 1, 5, 8, 30 and 47 adding a second electro-optical panel substrate raises a new issue requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected were not allowable over the prior art.